To view City Council’s 2015 Strategic Priorities and Individual Priorities, please visit our website at cityofpage.org/government/councilpriorities or stop in at the City Clerk’s Office in City Hall for a copy.

Thank you
PAGE CITY COUNCIL
WORK SESSION MEETING MINUTES
OCTOBER 28, 2015

A Work Session Meeting of the Page City Council was held at 5:00 p.m. on October 28, 2015, in the Council Chambers at City Hall in Page, Arizona. Mayor Bill Diak presided. Vice Mayor Kocjan (arrived at 5:24 p.m.), Councilors Mike Bryan (arrived 5:39 p.m.), Scott Sadler, Levi Tappan, David Tennis and Dennis Warner were present.

Mayor Diak called the meeting to order.

Staff members present: City Manager, Crystal Prentice; City Attorney, Joe Estes; Community Development Director, Kim Johnson; Police Chief, Frank Balkcom; Police Lieutenant, Tim Lange; Deputy City Clerk, Sue Kennedy; and City Clerk, Kim Larson.

Discussion by the City Council pertaining to Code Compliance Program
At the September 23, 2015 Work Session, the City Council continued to discuss a Code Compliance Program for the City of Page. The Council provided feedback and came to consensus on a number of issues presented. The consensus was on the following items:

- Enhancement of the General Nuisance Definition as in the language in the Kanab and Sedona Codes.
- Adding Attractive Nuisance to the list of nuisances and defining it.
- Moving electric fences from Chapter 10 to Chapter 9, and ultimately adding language in this regard to the updated Zoning Ordinance.
- Adding regulations related trash and recycling containers in Service Commercial district, storage location or screening and curb location and time limits for residential trash cans, as well as provisions that lids must close completely.
- Remove Graffiti regulations from Chapter 9, leaving them in Chapter 10 (Police).

UNADDRESSED CODE ISSUES
Several code issues were undecided and are in need of additional discussion. The narrative, recommendations, and staff questions related to these issues can be found in the attached September 23, 2015 memorandum. Also attached is a Comparative Matrix of Code Requirements from other communities. Items that need additional discussion include:

- Outdoor Storage and Recreational Vehicles/Boats/Personal Watercraft/Trailers Storage and Parking
- Refrigerators/Appliances
- Unsightly Premises
- Vegetation
- Vehicle Parking/Storage
CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM AND APPROACH
This topic was not discussed due to time constraints. Please find the narrative and recommendations related to this topic in the attached September 23, 2015 memorandum.

REQUESTED COUNCIL DIRECTION
Staff would like to hear from the Council its primary concerns and issues related to code enforcement as well has have a discussion of the type of proactive code enforcement approach that would be best for the City of Page and its citizens.

There was discussion on the subject of Unsightly Premises ranging from phrasing the code in very broad terms like Sedona, Arizona uses, to using very specific language, to leaving the entire description of what is unsightly out because all of the other sections address this issue. Councilor Warner and Mayor Diak suggested that a “standout offense” would be different depending on the neighborhood.

Councilor Warner stated that the bar should be set high in order to give Code Enforcement the full tool-box necessary to clean things up.

City Attorney Joe Estes stated that if it becomes clear that changes are later needed, the code can be amended. In that regard he pointed out that the Board of Adjustment, in many cities is a part of Council, the decision making body, and not a separate board.

On the subject of Vegetation, there was discussion regarding control, height limitations, and fire hazards.

On the subject of Vehicle Parking/Storage, Mayor Diak stated that this might be an area where once again, what is appropriate in each neighborhood may be different, and language to that effect may be necessary.

Vehicle Parking/Storage discussion centered around issues relating to on-street parking, on-property parking, boats and recreational vehicles, parking locations and number of vehicles allowed.

Councilor Sadler stated that a draft should be written up to see what it would look like, and Community Development Director Kim Johnson stated that Staff has enough direction to come up with the language, and then Council can vote on it.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

Sue Kennedy
Deputy City Clerk

William R. Diak
Mayor
A Regular Meeting of the Page City Council was held at 6:30 p.m. on October 28, 2015, in the Council Chambers at City Hall in Page, Arizona. Mayor Bill Diak presided. Vice Mayor John Kocjan, Councilors Mike Bryan, Scott Sadler, Levi Tappan, David Tennis and Dennis Warner were present. There was a moment of meditation. Mayor Diak led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Diak called the meeting to order.

Staff members present: City Manager, J. Crystal Prentice; City Attorney, Joe Estes; Police Chief, Frank Balkcom; Police Lieutenant, Tim Lange; Fire Chief, Jeff Reed; IT Director, Mike Bergner; Human Resource Administrator, Kay Eddlemon; Finance Director, Linda Watson; Deputy City Clerk, Sue Kennedy; and City Clerk, Kim Larson.

PRIORITY LIST
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the City Council Strategic Priorities
City Manager Crystal Prentice stated that there was a recent City Council retreat at the Marriott and that she made a draft of the reconfirmed strategic priorities, along with the new objectives discussed. She stated that there would be a staff retreat regarding the next step of putting into place an action plan. This plan will come back for Council’s final consideration.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the City Councilors individual priorities
There was no discussion by the City Council.

MINUTES
Work Session Meeting-October 14, 2015
Regular City Council Meeting-October 14, 2015
Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to approve the minutes. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

CONSENT AGENDA

MINUTES
Page Utility Enterprises Board – September 8, 2015

INFORMATION

Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to approve the consent agenda. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.
PUBLIC HEARINGS
None

HEAR FROM THE CITIZENS
No citizens addressed the City Council.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to accepting a donated 19’8" Boston Whaler patrol boat - Tabled October 14, 2015

At the October 14, 2015 Regular City Council Meeting, Council voted to table the agenda item.

Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to remove the agenda item from the table. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

Presentation to City Council for approval of accepting a donated 19’8" Boston Whaler patrol boat, 225 Mercury Optimax motor and Boat Master trailer. The boat will be used to perform Water Patrol Operations and Boating While Under the Influence Safety Operations in the City of Page/Lake Powell jurisdiction.

The Page Police Department has responded to fights and disturbances at the Chains area located within the jurisdiction of the Page Police Department. There have been several occasions where person(s) that had been arrested have had to walk up the hillside while in handcuffs, putting the officer and suspect(s) in danger of falling. The acceptance of the vessel and trailer will allow Officers to maneuver the vessel to the beach area and place suspect(s) in the boat for transportation to a safe unloading area.

Chief Balkcom introduced the item and stated that his department had prepared a power point presentation, which Lieutenant Lange was there to present.

Lieutenant Lange read some statistics regarding calls and arrests in the Chains area over the last five years. He presented several photos of the area and the challenges the terrain presents.

He continued with a list of proposed actions and benefits of having this boat in the department, as well as a list of costs, insurance, and other logistics.

There was discussion regarding the boat’s functionality, its storage, and the City’s responsibility on the lake.

Fire Chief Jeff Reed gave the Fire Department’s full support of accepting the donation of the boat, and how it would positively impact his department. Lieutenant Lange reiterated how valuable the boat would be for patrolling during high volume, high crime weekends.
Chief Balkcom stated that the boat is returnable to the Arizona Game and Fish Department if it does not perform as expected.

There was discussion.

Motion made by Councilor Bryan to accept delivery of the donated 19’8” Boston Whaler patrol boat, 225 Mercury Optimax motor and Boat Master Trailer for the utilization of performing Water Patrol Operations. The motion was duly seconded.

There was discussion.

City Attorney Joe Estes suggested that Council approval could be contingent upon an annual review of number of calls of service and other data, and continuation of the program be reevaluated then.

Councilor Bryan amended his motion to include that after a period of one year, the operations of patrol should be evaluated and pending funding should be contingent.

Councilor Sadler duly seconded the amended motion.

The motion was passed with Mayor Diak, Vice Mayor Kocjan, Councilors Warner, Tennis, Bryan and Sadler voting in favor and Councilor Tappan voting against.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to City Code Amendment, Chapter 3, Article 5, Section 5, Lease of City Property-Ordinance 626-15-2nd reading
At the Regular City Council Meeting on October 14, 2015, Council passed Ordinance 626-15 to its second reading.

During the Work Session on September 23, 2015, the Mayor and Council discussed certain amendments to City Code 3-5-5, Lease of City Property. Such amendments included: (1) changing the publication requirements from three (3) weeks to two (2) weeks for publications in a weekly paper; (2) requiring the proposed tenant of a lease to pay for the publication costs; and (3) to establish exemptions from the publication requirement and objections for airport hanger leases and other leases of nominal value. The proposed Ordinance incorporates the discussed changes and sets forth a policy statement that public land or buildings shall be leased in a way that maximizes the value of City assets, promotes activities and uses that are in the overall best interest of the city and are consistent with the General Plan.

Motion made by Councilor Warner to introduce Ordinance 626-15 by title only for its second reading. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

The Deputy City Clerk introduced Ordinance 626-15 by title only.
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AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PAGE, COCONINO COUNTY, ARIZONA, AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 5, SECTION 5, LEASE OF CITY PROPERTY, OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF PAGE, ESTABLISHING A POLICY STATEMENT, AMENDING THE PUBLICATION REQUIREMENTS, ASSESSING PUBLICATION COSTS TO PROPOSED TENANTS AND ESTABLISHING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS FOR LEASES OF NOMINAL VALUE.

Motion made by Councilor Tappan to adopt Ordinance 626-15. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

NEW BUSINESS
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to an additional health care option - Employee High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP) coverage

The 2015 City Council Strategic Plan objective 2.4 reads “review employee insurance and fringe benefits for potential savings.” Staff has completed the review and at the June 10, 2015 meeting, presented City Council with the initial phase of moving from the 2-tier medical coverage plan (single-employee only & family coverage) to a 4-tier medical coverage plan (employee only, employee + spouse, employee + child(ren), and employee + family). This change was approved by City Council and went into effect for eligible City Employees beginning July 1, 2015, realizing an annual savings of approximately $71,200.

Beginning January 1, 2016, staff is recommending offering employees an additional option of a High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP). With this plan, the employee only coverage would have a $2800 annual deductible; and the employee+ coverage plan would have a $5,600 annual deductible. RAGHT requires the employer to contribute a minimum of 25% to a Health Savings Account (HSA), with a maximum contribution amount of 50%. Under this plan, the City/PUE would contribute 35% percent of the annual deductible into the employee’s HSA accounts. In addition, employees may also voluntarily contribute to their HSA account. Those carrying single coverage may contribute an additional $2,370 per year, while those with family coverage may contribute an additional $4,790 per year. The Internal Revenue Service regulates the total allowable amount that can be contributed to an HSA account annually and the use of these funds. City/PUE employees will be able to consider this option during a special open enrollment this coming November.

The following tables show the cost comparisons between the existing plan that the City/PUE employees are currently on with the existing EPO 4-tier plan (Table 1) and the proposed additional option of a HDHP (Table 2) and the associated costs with that option.

With each employee that chooses to move to the HDHP option, the City may realize a cost savings due to the lower utilization rate of the employee’s medical services.
Employees tend to manage their health care costs more carefully when they can make the choice of participating in a high deductible health plan and have the incentive of building a HSA account for their future needs.

### TABLE 1
**CITY/PUE-EPO 4 Tier Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>$515.69</td>
<td>$51.56</td>
<td>$464.03</td>
<td>$5,568.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Spouse</td>
<td>$1,092.31</td>
<td>$218.46</td>
<td>$873.85</td>
<td>$10,486.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Child(ren)</td>
<td>$992.77</td>
<td>$198.55</td>
<td>$794.22</td>
<td>$9,530.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Family</td>
<td>$1,525.38</td>
<td>$305.08</td>
<td>$1,220.30</td>
<td>$14,643.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This premium does not include dental and vision coverage.**

### TABLE 2
**CITY/PUE-HDHP & HSA Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monthly Med Premium**</th>
<th>Monthly Employee Contr.</th>
<th>Monthly City Contr. (Incl. 35% HSA)</th>
<th>Annual Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>$408.78</td>
<td>$40.88</td>
<td>$449.57</td>
<td>$5,394.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Spouse</td>
<td>$851.57</td>
<td>$170.31</td>
<td>$644.60</td>
<td>$10,135.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Child(ren)</td>
<td>$784.49</td>
<td>$156.90</td>
<td>$790.93</td>
<td>$9,491.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp/Family</td>
<td>$1,174.65</td>
<td>$234.93</td>
<td>$1,103.06</td>
<td>$13,236.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This premium does not include dental and vision coverage.**

**BUDGET IMPACT:** Since moving to the 4-tier EPO Plan in July 2015, we should realize an approximated savings of approximately $71,200 this first year with the current employee elections. Depending on the number of employees interested in moving to the HDHP will determine if any further cost savings can be incurred by the City of Page.

City Manager Crystal Prentice gave an overview of the plan and stated that staff would like to add this additional option for the employees, a high deductible health plan, and pointed out some of the financial highlights and benefits to the City.

There was discussion about the financial ramifications for the employee.
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It was determined that joining this option will be available this year during a special open enrollment in November, and become effective on January 1, 2016.

There was lengthy discussion regarding the health plans that the city offers, how an HSA operates as a savings plan and rolls over every year, and how it could save the city money.

Motion made by Councilor Sadler to direct staff to offer another health care option to City Employees by means of a High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP) in addition to the existing 4-tier EPO Plan starting January 2016. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to GPON Fiber/Wireless Agreements with South Central Communications, Inc.

The City of Page will be switching internet providers from Cable One to South Central Communications. This switch is needed due to Cable One not being able meet the City's requirements for Internet Service bandwidth to perform even the basic of day to day needs. The lack of bandwidth has affected City operations in all areas including but not limited to EMS and Public Safety, Page Library, Email and Cloud Application Services. This agreement will provide internet access to the City at three primary locations with secondary locations to be serviced through the City's internal network infrastructure. The three primary locations are Page City Hall, Public Safety, and Page Public Library. The agreement term with South Central Communications for Fiber / Wireless services will be for 3 years. Refer to the attached Sales Order for the breakdown on bandwidth allotment and costs. South Central Communications is currently installing the equipment to provide the services, which should be available in approximately the next 75 - 90 days.

IT Director Mike Bergner voiced the necessity for better and faster internet in all of the City's departments. He went over some of the technical details of the South Central Communications (SCC) contracts, the benefits, the budget impact for each building per megabits of bandwidth, and the timeline. He stated that SCC estimated the system would be up and running by late December, perhaps into January 2016.

Mr. Bergner gave examples of how much each of the three locations, City Hall (which includes Public Works and the Magistrate Court), the Public Safety building, and the Public Library, need this increased service, and how they are negatively affected by the current service.

Councilor Sadler asked IT Director Bergner how this agreement affects the broadcasting of the City Council meetings, and the City's Channel 4 access.

Mr. Bergner stated that since we have a franchise agreement with Cable One, our Channel 4 access would be unaffected, and we would gain streaming capability in
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addition.

There were technical questions and lengthy discussion.

Mr. Bergner described the 3 phases of the local fiber infrastructure project.

Steve Blevins, 237 Aero, questioned the details of the SCC contract, its pitfalls, and what the City is going to be required to do and pay for once this contract is signed.

City Attorney Joe Estes addressed the concerns regarding the SCC contract.

Motion made by Councilor Warner to approve the GPON Fiber/Wireless Agreement from South Central Communications. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

BID AWARDS
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE MAYOR
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE MANAGER
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE COUNCIL
None scheduled

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS
Discussion by the City Council pertaining to reports by Board Liaisons
There was no discussion by the City Council.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to an appointment to the Substance Abuse Task Force
There was one vacancy on the Substance Abuse Task Force. An application was received from Bee Valvo.

Motion made by Councilor Sadler to appoint Bee Valvo to the Page Substance Abuse Task Force with a term ending June 2017. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.
DEPARTMENTS
None scheduled

CLAIMS
None scheduled

ADJOURN
The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

Sue Kennedy
Deputy City Clerk

William R. Diak
Mayor
PAGE CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES  
NOVEMBER 4, 2015

A Regular Meeting of the Page City Council was held at 6:30 p.m. on November 4, 2015, in the Council Chambers at City Hall in Page, Arizona. Mayor Bill Diak presided. Vice Mayor John Kocjan, Councilors Mike Bryan, Scott Sadler, and Dennis Warner (telephonic) were present. Councilors Levi Tappan and David Tennis were absent. There was a moment of meditation. City Manager Crystal Dyches led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Diak called the meeting to order.

Staff members present: City Manager, J. Crystal Dyches; City Attorney, Joe Estes; Police Chief, Frank Balkcom; Tourism Director, Lee McMichael; Airport Director/City Magistrate, Rick Olson; Deputy City Clerk, Sue Kennedy; and City Clerk, Kim Larson.

PRIORITY LIST
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the City Council Strategic Priorities
There was no discussion by the City Council.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the City Councilors individual priorities
There was no discussion by the City Council.

MINUTES
None scheduled

CONSENT AGENDA

MINUTES
Community Development Advisory Board - September 22, 2015

INFORMATION
Proclamation-American Diabetes Month
City of Page Monthly Cash Allocation Variance Report

Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to approve the consent agenda. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

PUBLIC HEARINGS
None

HEAR FROM THE CITIZENS
No citizens addressed the City Council

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
None scheduled
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NEW BUSINESS
Presentation by Gregg Martinez of the Page Regional Domestic Violence Services, and possible discussion by the City Council

Gregg Martinez stated that over a year ago he met with Mayor Diak and then City Manager, Rick Olson with the idea of reopening the City swimming pool. He stated that the swimming pool reopening and the summer swim program were a huge success. He presented the City of Page a check in the amount of $2,100.00, which were funds that were raised to open the pool.

Mayor Diak agreed that the swimming program was extremely well received.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to a Governor's Office of Highway Safety Grant for the Page Police Department

Presentation to the City Council for approval to accept the Governor's Office of Highway Safety Grant in the amount of $8,185.00 for the purchase of a Sokkia Data Collector and Accessories. The Page Police Department will utilize this grant to purchase this device, to assist in the investigation of serious accidents, fatalities and major crime scenes. The Department does not currently have this device and is reliant on outside agencies for the use of this electronic measurement, plotting device which will create a diagram of the respective scene(s). The purchase will allow the Police Department to become self sufficient and more effective in serving our community.

Chief Frank Balkcom introduced the agenda item and called upon Sergeant Ben Jennett to present the purpose of the device.

Sergeant Jennett described the benefits of the Sokkia Data Collector to the Page Police Department.

There was discussion.

Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to accept the Governor's Office of Highway Safety Grant in the amount of $8,185.00 for the purchase of a Sokkia Data Collector and Accessories. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to a request for funding by the Lake Powell Concert Association, Inc.

At the October 17, 2015 Community Development Advisory Board Meeting, the Lake Powell Concert Association, Inc. presented a request for funding in the amount of $4,000.00 for the 2015-2016 season. The funding would be used to promote the 2015-2016 season and to sustain the organization, per Bryan Boston, President of the Lake Powell Concert Association.

Tourism Director Lee McMichael presented the agenda item. He stated that the requested $4,000.00 would be used to sustain the organization, and to promote and help offset the cost of the artists to perform.
Page City Council Regular Meeting- November 4, 2015

Motion made by Mayor Diak to approve the Lake Powell Concert Association, Inc. request for funding in the amount of $4,000.00 for their 2015-2016 season. The motion was duly seconded.

Bryan Boston was present and answered questions from the City Council.

There was discussion.

The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to a Signage Plan for the Rim Trail

In May 2014, the Tourism Board, now known as the Community Development Advisory Board, commissioned the "Rim Trail Assessment", which was thereafter presented to the City Council. Upon review and consideration, the City Council provided direction to staff to investigate the first three priorities listed in the report, which were as follows:

#1 Improve road crossings
#2 Improve Trail Signage and Way-marking
#3 Create maps, a regional conceptual plan, and advertising program

To carry out the direction of the City Council, a Rim Trail Subcommittee (Subcommittee) was formed with representation from the City Council, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, Community Development Advisory Board, and City Staff from Community Development and Public Works. The Subcommittee met several times over the past six months and prioritized the recommendations of the report, starting with Improved Trail Signage and Way-marking.

At the recommendation of the Subcommittee, City staff reached out to the National Park Service, and they agreed to provide design and fabrication services for the majority of the trail head and on-trail signage. The only cost to the City is related to sign posts, on-street trail crossing signage, and staff labor to install the signs.

SIGNAGE PLAN:
The Signage Plan that was recommended by the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board and the Community Development Advisory Board for approval was reviewed. In summary, the signage will use characteristics of National Park Service signage, and will address the following types of signage:

► TRAIL HEAD
► INFORMAL TRAIL ACCESS POINTS
► DISCONTINUED ACCESS POINTS
► ON-STREET TRAIL STREET CROSSINGS
  On-street to warn drivers (Not part of National Park Service provided signage)
  On-trail to warn trail users
► TRAIL MARKINGS
Tourism Director Lee McMichael introduced the agenda item.

Councilor Sadler inquired as to what the cost to the City would be.

Mr. McMichael stated that it would be the cost of labor to install the signs, approximately $1,700.00, as quoted by the Public Works Director.

There was discussion.

Motion made by Councilor Bryan to authorize Staff to implement the signage plan for the Rim Trail. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

**BID AWARDS**
None scheduled

**BUSINESS FROM THE MAYOR**
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to a Letter of Support for a rule change for Great Lakes Aviation

In 2013, Part 121 (Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR’s), pertaining to pilot qualifications for airlines, was amended to require approximately 1,000 more flight hours of flight time before a pilot could qualify for service. As a result, many pilots already flying for Part 121 airlines were disqualified. Great Lakes Airlines was forced to change operation standards by removing 10 seats from its 19 seat aircraft; the impact on Essential Air Service routes and companion communities was catastrophic.

Great Lakes Airlines, by and through the airline industry, is proposing a rule change to effectively return to the 19 seat configuration. Great Lakes Airlines is asking the communities it serves to write in support of the rule change. The proposed letter from the Mayor and Council to the FAA/Federal Register, would support the rule change thereby enabling Great Lakes Airlines to again fly all routes at full capacity.

Mayor Diak presented the agenda item.

Airport Director Rick Olson gave a brief overview of the amended pilot qualifications and the request to support the proposed rule change to return to a 19 seat configuration.

There was discussion.

Motion made by Vice Mayor Kocjan to approve the Letter of Support pertaining to Great Lakes Airline’s petition to amend the FAR definition of “Commuter Operations.” The motion was duly seconded.

Councilor Sadler asked if the Airport Board made a recommendation.
Mayor Diak stated that there was not a quorum, therefore this did not go before the Airport Board.

Discussion continued.

The motion passed upon a vote.

BUSINESS FROM THE MANAGER
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY
None scheduled

BUSINESS FROM THE COUNCIL
Discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the First Quarter Financial Report
Councilor Warner requested that this agenda item be postponed until the next City Council Meeting.

Motion made by Councilor Sadler to table discussion and possible action by the City Council pertaining to the First Quarter Financial Report. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS
Discussion by the City Council pertaining to reports by Board Liaisons
Councilor Sadler stated that the Planning and Zoning Advisory Board is in need of board members.

Mayor Diak stated that applications for the City Boards were available in the City Clerk's Office, and encouraged anyone interested to apply.

DEPARTMENTS
None scheduled

CLAIMS
None scheduled

ADJOURN
The meeting was adjourned at 7:12 p.m.
PAGE COMMUNITY CENTER BOARD
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

September 8, 2015

The regular meeting of the Page Community Center Board was held at the Page Community Center in Page, Arizona on Tuesday, September 8, 2015. Board members President Dele Fischer, Vice President Francine Hoover, Secretary Dawn Duggins, Trina Kaltmaier, new member Virginia Nicholas, and City Council Liaison, Levi Tappan were present.

Staff present: None

Guests: Ron Hoover

President Fischer gave the invocation. Member Duggins led the Pledge of Allegiance.

President Fischer called the meeting to order at 5:30pm.

MINUTES

Community Center Board Regular Meeting minutes of July 1, 2015

A motion was made by Member Hoover to approve the minutes. The motion was duly seconded and passed upon a vote.

HEAR FROM THE CITIZENS

None

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Report on the status of the grant for the Double Doors

No word about the grant or whether the city employees came to measure for the doors. Debbie Winlock was not in attendance at this meeting.

Report on trips and activities since the last meeting

Both trips were well attended and enjoyed by all.

Discussion and possible action by the Board pertaining to future activities of/for the Center and/or seniors

There have been suggestions from seniors for a possible trip on the Canyon Queen.
NEW BUSINESS

Discussion and possible action by the Board pertaining to complaints about the phone answering problem at the Center

A memo will be sent to Director, Debbie Winlock to address the problem.

Discussion and possible action by the Board pertaining to the possibility of having a list available of homebound people who would appreciate a phone call or visit.

A memo will be sent to Director, Debbie Winlock to address this item.

Next meeting date: Wednesday, November 4, 2015

ADJOURN: A motion was made by Member Duggins to adjourn the meeting at 5:55p.m. The motion was seconded and passed upon a vote.

Dele Fischer President

Dawn Duggins Secretary
Kim,

As I told you before the last board meeting, I am going to be moving to Washington state for a new job, thus I am going to have to step down from the board. I will be in attendance at this next special meeting on October 27th, and if we still have our regular board meeting the first week of November. However, after the second week of November I will be gone. I have loved the opportunity to be part of the board and am excited to see what changes are made over the years with the park system in Page!

Also, I have attached the excel document with the results from the SOPARC assessment, as well as a map of the park showing the way the park was divided during the assessment. Please let me know if you have any questions on either documents. There is not an pre-made tool to analyze the data, so I created the excel sheet to get the numbers I wanted to look at. For the future, anybody can do a SOPARC assessment. The information on how to perform one, the training videos for it, and the assessment tools are located here: http://activelivingresearch.org/soparc-system-observing-play-and-recreation-communities. I used the electronic videos to show how to do the assessment, which can be downloaded free on iTunes U (just search SOPARC).

Thanks,

Aimee D'Avignon, MPH
Program Manager: Nutrition Education and Obesity Prevention
Public Health Educator:
Arizona Nutrition Network
Injury Prevention
Maternal, Child, & Teen Health Program
Coconino County Public Health Services District

Tel: 928-608-6108 ext 7305
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

DUE TO MANY HEALTH PROBLEMS THIS LAST YEAR, I FEEL I MUST RESIGN FROM THE LIBRARY BOARD. I HAVE ENJOYED MANY YEARS ON THE BOARD AND HOPE SOME YOUNGER PEOPLE WILL BE WILLING TO VOLUNTEER THEIR TIME AND ENERGY ON THIS BOARD. THE PAGE LIBRARY IS SOMETHING WE SHOULD ALL BE PROUD OF, AND OUR DIRECTOR, DEBBIE WINLOCK IS SUCH AN ASSET.

SINCERELY

Suzanne Cottrell

SUZANNE COTTRELL
FIRST QUARTER FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

Fiscal Year 2016

CITY OF PAGE

Vision Statement
The City of Page is a clean, financially responsible, diverse and vibrant community that respects the quality of its environment, fosters a sense of community and family, encourages a healthful, active lifestyle and supports a wide-range of business opportunities to promote a prosperous economy.
INTRODUCTION

Quarterly financial reporting is being prepared by the City of Page as a means of providing the community, Council and the organization with an overview of financial information for the General Government Funds. Quarterly financial reporting is a priority identified by Council during previous strategic planning worksessions.

The primary information provided in the quarterly report is a comparison of the annual budget amounts to actual revenues and expenditures for operating departments. Prior year comparisons are also included in some areas.

All financial information is based on preliminary, unaudited information reported from the municipal financial system as of the report date. Seasonal variations or special occurrences in municipal operations may affect the proportion of revenues achieved or expenditures incurred to date.

Notations for this quarter include the following:

* Personnel vacancies filled this quarter include: three full-time patrol officers, two full-time communications positions, one full-time records position, one full-time evidence technician, and one part-time library aide. There were nine full-time positions vacated this quarter, as well as two part-time positions.

* A capital lease payment in the amount of $77,847 was made on the 2011 Pierce Pumper fire truck. There are two additional payments remaining.

* The South Navajo Rehabilitation was completed as well as a pavement replacement project which included areas on Poplar Street, 15th Avenue, Valley Court, Tower Butte, and San Francisco. Total capital expenditures for these projects were approximately $843,000.

* Design for airfield electrical upgrades and a connector taxiway at the airport are complete and bids for construction were awarded to Rural Electric, Inc. and J. Banicki Construction, Inc.

* During the FY16 budget process, several donation and grant funds were combined along with restructuring and creating several new departments. This will result in fluctuations from prior year figures in some departments.

* An interfund transfer in the amount of $1.4 Million was made from the General Fund to the Debt Service Fund for additional bond reduction. Currently, the amount being held for the next bond call is $2.4 Million.
ALL FUNDS (EXCLUDES ENTERPRISE FUNDS)

Revenues received year-to-date are 33% of annual budget. Through the first quarter, 78% of total revenue has been expended, which is a significant decrease from this quarter in the prior year.

Expenditures year-to-date are 26% of annual budget, which is a slight increase over the prior year but within expected parameters.

Final payment for the Pavement Replacement project was made in the amount of $295,416. All department expenditures for capital equipment/outlay will be made from the Capital Projects Fund. Fluctuations from prior year figures may result throughout the FY16 quarterly reports.

TOTAL YTD REVENUE $ 6,836,083

![Revenue - Annual Budget vs. Year to Date Actual](image)

TOTAL YTD EXPENSE $ 5,357,700

![Expense - Annual Budget vs. Year to Date Actual](image)
GENERAL FUND

General Fund revenues are showing a 5% increase over the prior year. City Sales Tax continues to increase, as well as collections for building permits and franchise fees. Through the first quarter, the General Fund revenue has been overspent by 13%. Expenditures year-to-date have increased over the prior year due to the increase in debt coverage.

$1.4 Million was transferred to the Debt Service Fund for the July 2020 bond call. This is an increase of $650,000 over the prior year.

Additionally, interfund transfers in the amount of $200,000 have been made from the General Fund to Debt Service for the bi-annual bond payments, as well as $150,000 in transfers to the Community Development Fund and a $384,000 transfer to the Capital Projects Fund.

Seven full-time vacancies were filled, as well as one part-time position.

GENERAL FUND YTD REVENUE    $ 3,636,157

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue -Annual Budget vs. Year to Date Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR YEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-T-D ACTUAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GENERAL FUND YTD EXPENSE    $ 4,110,398

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense -Annual Budget vs. Year to Date Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR YEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-T-D ACTUAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CITY OF PAGE

## Fiscal Year 2016

**Summary by Fund**

**Ending September 30, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Description</th>
<th>Prior Year Expense</th>
<th>Prior Year Revenue</th>
<th>Current Expense</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Prior Year Net Gain/Loss</th>
<th>Current Net Gain/Loss</th>
<th>Prior Year As of 9/30/2014</th>
<th>Current As of 9/30/2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 Highway Users Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 Substance Abuse Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177 Transient Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178 Community Center Donation Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199 Page Youth Center Donation Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Debt Service Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 Fire Donations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 Project Graduation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 Police Department Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204 SRO Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205 Miscellaneous Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306 Library Donation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307 Library Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308 JCEF Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309 Donation Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 Capital Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411 Balloon Regatta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412 Tourism and Promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413 Airport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414 Airport Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415 Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502 Fire Pension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

|                    | (4,651,965) | (5,257,700) | 4,817,076 | 6,836,083 | 165,111 | 1,478,382 | 12,406,719 | 16,379,144 |

**Notes:**

1. Fund balance transferred to fund 36
2. Fund balance transferred to fund 25
3. Net gain from prior year reflects transfers from various funds
4. FY16 additional transfer to debt service per ordinance 616-14 and resolution 1105-13 - $1,400,000
5. Net gain due to unexpended capital budget
6. Net loss due to unrealized loss in market values
7. Fund balance returned to page lake powell balloon regatta
8. Net loss due to transfer out for capital expenditures

*Balances do not include funds used for accounts payable reimbursements from page utility.*
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## FISCAL YEAR 2016
### GENERAL FUND SUMMARY BY DEPARTMENT
#### ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
<th>% of Revenue</th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>% of Revenue</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>% Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>1,940,550</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>2,791,355</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>850,805</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes &amp; Fees</td>
<td>76,870</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>103,350</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>26,481</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental</td>
<td>375,242</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>395,072</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>19,830</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Operating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>300,735</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>346,380</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>45,645</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund Revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,693,386</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,636,157</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>942,760</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Administration</td>
<td>49,720</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>51,873</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2,153</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor &amp; Council</td>
<td>23,557</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>21,760</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(1,797)</td>
<td>(7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Clerk</td>
<td>26,493</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>21,918</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(4,675)</td>
<td>(17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Attorney</td>
<td>36,227</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>34,734</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(1,493)</td>
<td>(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 Interfund Transfers</td>
<td>1,060,150</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>2,138,724</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>1,078,554</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Admin</td>
<td>17,518</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>16,357</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(1,161)</td>
<td>(6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>29,243</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>46,997</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>17,754</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>92,541</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>81,058</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>(11,483)</td>
<td>(12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Department Admin</td>
<td>138,420</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>166,654</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>28,234</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Resource</td>
<td>35,673</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>42,808</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7,135</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>412,570</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>408,642</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>(3,929)</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrol</td>
<td>91,479</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>86,866</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>(6,422)</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>452,679</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>371,241</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>(81,438)</td>
<td>(18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resource/Risk Mng.</td>
<td>71,911</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>52,323</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>(19,588)</td>
<td>(27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>76,571</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>93,172</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16,601</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magistrate</td>
<td>71,065</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>73,221</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2,156</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>67,502</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>27,360</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>(40,142)</td>
<td>(59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Zoning</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15,663</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14,496</td>
<td>1197.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building &amp; Code Compliance</td>
<td>18,585</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>18,507</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Administration</td>
<td>83,001</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>83,001</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Garage</td>
<td>56,307</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>56,144</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>(163)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings Maintenance</td>
<td>72,169</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>52,311</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>(19,658)</td>
<td>(27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>37,505</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>(37,506)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Maintenance</td>
<td>55,654</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>64,531</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8,877</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course MCE</td>
<td>12,496</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7,051</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(5,445)</td>
<td>(43.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,031,665</strong></td>
<td><strong>71.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,110,198</strong></td>
<td><strong>113.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,078,533</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Net Revenue Over Expenditures | (338,269) | (12.6) | (474,242) | (13.0) | (135,973) | 40.2 |

**Notes:**
1. FY16 additional transfer to debt service per ordinance 616-14 and Resolution 1105-13 - $1,400,000
2. Transfer to Capital Projects Fund for current year capital purchases - $384,000
3. Increase in compensated absences - $46,000
4. Decrease due to capital purchases being expensed from capital projects fund instead of individual departments
5. No budget for FY16 - included in investigations and building/code compliance departments
6. No budget for FY16 - included in public works admin department
7. Changes in personnel vacancies, individual benefit electives, or unemployment
8. New department created in FY16
9. Reduction in liability and property insurance payments
### General Fund Expenditures by Category

#### Public Safety
- Prior Year: 1,016,682
- YTD Actual: 968,600
- Variance: (48,082)

#### General Government
- Prior Year: 510,295
- YTD Actual: 503,964
- Variance: (6,331)

#### Magistrate
- Prior Year: 71,065
- YTD Actual: 73,221
- Variance: 2,156

#### Public Works
- Prior Year: 234,132
- YTD Actual: 263,239
- Variance: 29,107

#### Community Programs
- Prior Year: 110,059
- YTD Actual: 115,653
- Variance: 5,595

#### Recreation
- Prior Year: 29,243
- YTD Actual: 46,997
- Variance: 17,754

#### Transfer - Debt Service
- Prior Year: 929,538
- YTD Actual: 1,604,111
- Variance: 674,573

#### Transfer - Tourism
- Prior Year: 130,651
- YTD Actual: 151,216
- Variance: 20,564

#### Transfer - Misc
- Prior Year: 383,397
- YTD Actual: 383,397

### General Fund Total Expenditures
- Prior Year: 4,110,398
- YTD Actual: 5,357,700
- Variance: 1,078,302

### All Other Fund Expenditures
- Prior Year: 1,247,302
- YTD Actual: (372,998)

### Total Fund Expenditures (Excludes Enterprise Funds)
- Prior Year: 5,357,700
- YTD Actual: 705,735

---

### General Fund Y-T-D Expenses by Category with Prior Year Comparison

#### Prior Year
- Public Safety: 1,016,682
- General Government: 510,295
- Magistrate: 71,065
- Public Works: 234,132
- Community Programs: 110,059
- Recreation: 29,243
- Transfer - Debt Service: 929,538
- Transfer - Tourism: 130,651
- Transfer - Misc: 383,397

#### YTD Actual
- Public Safety: 968,600
- General Government: 503,964
- Magistrate: 73,221
- Public Works: 263,239
- Community Programs: 115,653
- Recreation: 46,997
- Transfer - Debt Service: 1,604,111
- Transfer - Tourism: 151,216
- Transfer - Misc: 383,397

---

### Stacked Bar Chart

#### Prior Year
- Public Safety: 44%
- General Government: 20%
- Magistrate: 4%
- Public Works: 9%
- Community Programs: 8%
- Recreation: 31%
- Transfer - Debt Service: 33%
- Transfer - Tourism: 9%
- Transfer - Misc: 2%

#### YTD Actual
- Public Safety: 40%
- General Government: 19%
- Magistrate: 3%
- Public Works: 9%
- Community Programs: 9%
- Recreation: 32%
- Transfer - Debt Service: 27%
- Transfer - Tourism: 2%
- Transfer - Misc: 1%
Title: Memorandum of Agreement between Coconino County Public Health Services District and the City of Page Regarding Mass Prophylaxis Dispensing Closed Point of Dispensing (POD)

Meeting Date: November 18, 2015

Agenda Section: New Business

Agenda Item Number: Motion

Originating Department: Administration

Supporting Documents: MOA

Prepared By: City Manager

Presented By: City Manager

Reviewed By: City Manager

Approved By: City Manager

Proposed Action: Approve the Memorandum of Agreement (Closed POD MOA) between the Coconino County Public Health Services District and the City of Page for Mass Prophylaxis Dispensing Closed Point of Dispensing (POD)

BACKGROUND:
On October 28, 2015, Coconino County Emergency Management Director, Robert Rowley, and Coconino County Public Health Services District (CCPHSD) Program Manager, Jocelyn Beard, presented to the City of Page Department Directors about the potential partnerships with the Coconino County Emergency Management and Public Health Emergency Preparedness Programs. CCPHSD would collaborate with the City of Page to have the City become a Closed POD site during a public health emergency.

The City of Page would activate a Closed POD when notified by CCPHSD that there is a public health emergency, and the City would follow their drafted Closed POD Plan for the City employees and their families to receive medications and medical supplies.

CCPHSD, through the PHEP Cooperative Agreement (Intergovernmental Agreement ADHS12-007885), in addition to a variety of key public health related preparedness activities, cooperative agreement recipients are required to develop plans to receive, distribute and dispense medical countermeasures from the Strategic National Stockpile (SNS) to their affected populations during an emergency. The SNS is a national repository of critical medical countermeasures and supplies that are available to supplement state and local public health officials during public health emergencies. The items in the SNS are capable of supporting all-hazards emergencies, with a great emphasis on acts of bioterrorism.

Closed PODs will play an important role in any situation where it is necessary to provide emergency medications to large groups of people. Traditional medical providers, such as hospitals and medical clinics, will likely be overwhelmed during a large-scale public health emergency. PODs established to support the public will also be highly stressed in a situation where the entire population needs medication within a short time frame. Closed PODs will help relieve the pressure by reaching specific portions of the community. As a result, long lines and public anxiety can be reduced and resources can be used more efficiently.
As a partner to CCPHSD, the City will play a critical role in our community’s public health preparedness activities. The City’s willingness to operate a closed point of dispensing during an emergency for employees and their families and to assist our community demonstrates an organization’s commitment to the national preparedness initiative. CCPHDS, Emergency Preparedness Program will match the commitment with equal dedication by providing the necessary technical assistance, planning tools and resources to help develop a Closed POD plan.

**BUDGET IMPACT:**
Per the MOA, the City would pay for staff compensation for working the Closed POD, cost of POD supplies (office supplies, i.e. signage, pens, paper, etc). The City, after the MOA has been signed, would be considered a “response partner” and would be able to apply for re-imbursement up to 75% of their staff compensation and supplies used during the event.

The City would also consider costs associated with staff training, staff time to draft Closed POD Plan and certification for Emergency Preparedness courses.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**
I move to approve the Memorandum of Agreement between the Coconino County Public Health Services District and the City of Page for Mass Prophylaxis Dispensing Closed Point of Dispensing (POD).
Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)
Regarding Mass Prophylaxis Dispensing
Closed Point of Dispensing (POD)

This Memorandum of Agreement is entered into this the ______ day of ________,
_____ between _____________ (hereinafter “Provider”) and the Coconino County Public Health Services District (CCPHSD).

WHEREAS, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), through the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS), in a public health emergency, will provide resources from the Strategic National Stockpile (SNS), which include medications and medical supplies, to the Coconino County Public Health Services District.

WHEREAS, the Coconino County Public Health Services District intends to transfer a predetermined quantity of the SNS medication and/or medical supplies to the Provider as needed to respond to a public health emergency in accordance with the policies and procedures outlined in the CCPHSD—All Hazards Plan, Annex 4 Strategic National Stockpile and the Provider’s own Closed Point of Dispensing Plan.

WHEREAS, the CCPHSD is collaborating with the Provider in effort to enhance its ability to respond to a catastrophic biological incident or other public health emergency requiring mass dispensing of medications or medical supplies.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, the parties hereto agree as follows:

The Provider Agrees:

a. To write and maintain Providers own Closed Point of Dispensing Plan (CCPHSD – Emergency Preparedness Program will provide technical assistance) (Providers Plan will be kept on file with the CCPHSD– Emergency Preparedness Program)

b. To request medications according to the number of faculty, staff, students and identified household family members (if applicable) expected to use the Provider POD.

c. To assume responsibility of dispensing medications to those individuals identified above by the Provider’s trained staff, at a site chosen by the Provider in accordance with the policies and procedures outlined in the Provider’s own Closed Point of Dispensing Plan (on file with the CCPHSD - Emergency Preparedness Program)
d. To dispense medications per established medical protocols/algorithms (provided by CCPHSD at the time of the event) in accordance with applicable state law and to confer with the state health officials to determine if any waiver, modification, or exceptions to state law during a public health emergency apply to its dispensing staff.

e. To provide any updates of the Provider’s Closed Point of Dispensing Plan to the CCPHSD - Emergency Preparedness Program.

f. To provide training and education to Provider’s staff that will be utilized in Mass Dispensing Operations in regards to specifics of the Closed Point of Dispensing Plan provided by the Provider.

g. To not charge individuals for medications, medical supplies, or administration of medications that have been provided through this agreement.

h. To participate in any CCPHSD - Emergency Preparedness Program sponsored dispensing training/education opportunities.

i. To provide emergency point of contact information to ensure timely notification of the Provider in the event of a public health emergency.

j. To maintain accurate records of medications dispensed and other data deemed necessary and provide that data to the CCPHSD in a timely manner in accordance with applicable state law and federal requirements.

k. To track expenses associated with an emergency response in order to seek any available reimbursement under the Stafford Act or other authorities.

l. To secure any unused medications in accordance with applicable state and federal law until a time CCPHSD can make arrangements for retrieval.

m. To compile and file an after action report with the CCPHSD identifying shortfalls and accomplishments of the operation. Coconino County Public Health Services District will provide technical assistance to the provider as to the purpose and content of the after action report.

n. The Organization at its own expense will provide and maintain appropriate insurance acceptable to the County.

   i. In no event will the total coverage be less than the minimum insurance coverage specified below:

   1. Commercial General Liability in an amount not less than One Million Dollars ($1,000,000) per occurrence/Two Million Dollars ($2,000,000) aggregate.
   2. Automobile Liability in an amount not less than One Million Dollars ($1,000,000) per occurrence.
3. A Certificate of Insurance for workers’ compensation coverage. The workers’ compensation insurer must agree to waive all rights of subrogation against the County, its officers, agents, employees and volunteers for losses arising from work performed by the Organization.

   ii. The Organization will name the County, its agents, officials and employees as additional insureds, except for workers compensation.

**The Coconino County Public Health Services District (CCPHSD) Agrees:**

a. To provide Mass Dispensing specific training/education opportunities to identified staff of the Provider.

b. To provide pre-event planning and technical assistance, including but not limited to supply lists, POD layouts, fact sheets, and dispensing algorithms to the Provider.

c. To provide limited pre-event non-medical supplies (those that would not be included in the SNS shipment)

d. As necessary, to respond to any particular public health emergency, to deliver the appropriate amount of medication in a reasonable, timely manner to the Provider POD in accordance with the policies and procedures outlined in the CCPHSD – All Hazards Plan, Annex 4 Strategic National Stockpile and the Provider’s own Closed Point of Dispensing Plan.

e. The Provider shall work with CCPHSD personnel to check the contents of the medications delivered for accuracy and shall sign the inventory form prior to CCPHSD personnel departing the Provider’s premises. Prior to CCPHSD personnel leaving the Provider’s site, any discrepancies in the inventory information (e.g., number of medications, identifying codes, types of medications) shall be resolved to the satisfaction of the CCPHSD staff person in charge. CCPHSD shall retain a copy of an SNS Asset Transfer Form for each delivery.

f. In the event that the amount of medications provided by CCPHSD to the Provider at any of its locations is depleted, the Provider may request a supplemental supply from CCPHSD. If CCPHSD determines that a supplemental supply is appropriate, it shall be delivered to the Provider in the same manner as set forth above.

g. CCPHSD makes no representations, warranties, or other guarantees regarding the efficacy of any medication provided pursuant to this Agreement.

h. To provide coordination services as outlined in the Strategic National Stockpile Plan to the Provider to the best of their ability.
i. To provide proper standing orders and medical protocols regarding Dispensing activities including, but not limited to, dosing, follow-up procedures, and releasable information regarding the public health emergency to the Provider.

j. To provide consultation and assistance as needed and available for the given public health emergency to the Provider.

k. To make arrangements to collect any unused medications as well as copies of all medical documentation from the Provider.

l. As appropriate, to assist the Provider in seeking any available reimbursement under the Stafford Act or other authorities for costs associated with Provider’s response.

m. To provide consultation regarding the after action report to the Provider.

**It Is Mutually Agreed That:**

a. The confidentiality of patients and patient information will be maintained as written and enforced by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as applicable and any applicable state law.

b. This Memorandum may be amended by written mutual agreement of both parties by either party upon 60 days’ notice in writing to the other party.

c. This Memorandum will not supersede any laws, rules, or policies of either party.

d. The activities in the signed Memorandum will go into effect only at the request and direction of the Chief Health Officer.

e. The Provider would be considered a closed POD in that it would not dispense medications to the “general public,” but to employees and identified household family members outlined in the Provider’s Closed POD Plan.

f. The Provider will follow the dispensing directives of the Coconino CCPHSD during Mass Dispensing Operations.

g. It is understood that the Provider’s participation is completely voluntary and may not be available/utilized at the time of a public health emergency. If so, the Provider would not be used as a closed POD during that public health emergency. Medications will be made available to Provider employees and members of their households under the same terms as they are made available to the general public.

**INDEMNIFICATION**

“Each party shall be liable for its own actions and negligence and, to the extent permitted by law, Coconino County shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless [Name of Entity]...
against any actions, claims or damages arising out of Coconino County's negligence in connection with this Agreement, and [Name of Entity] shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Coconino County against any actions, claims, or damages arising out of [Name of Entity]'s negligence in connection with this Agreement. The foregoing indemnification shall not constitute agreement by either party to indemnify the other party for such other party's negligent, willful or intentional acts or omissions."

1. The organization will operate as a separate entity and not as an officer, agent, servant, or employee of the County.

2. The organization will be solely responsible for the acts and omissions of its officers, agents, servants, and employees. The organization remains responsible for the payment of all applicable income and employment taxes and for providing all workers' compensation insurance required by law.

TERMINATION

Either party may terminate this Agreement, in writing, with sixty (60) days written notice to the other party.

NONDISCRIMINATION

The Provider shall not discriminate against any person because of race, color, religious creed, national origin, gender, age, ancestry, handicap, sexual orientation, sexual identity, veteran status or any other protected class as defined by Arizona or federal law.

COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

The Provider shall conduct operations under this Agreement in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, rules, by-laws and codes of the local, state and federal government.

JURISDICTION

This Agreement shall be interpreted by the laws of the State of Arizona and any suit brought pursuant to this Agreement shall be commenced only therein.

MODIFICATION, WAIVER OR CHANGE

No modifications, waiver or change shall be made in the terms and conditions of this Agreement except as may be mutually agreed upon in writing by all parties hereto.

ENTIRE UNDERSTANDING

This Agreement, together with the attachments hereto, represent the entire understanding of the parties, and neither party is relying upon any representation not contained herein.
SEVERABILITY

In the event that any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed invalid, unreasonable, or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, such provision shall be stricken from the Agreement or modified so as to render it reasonable, and the remaining provisions of this Agreement or the modified provision as provided above, shall continue in full force and effect and be binding upon the parties so long as such remaining or modified provisions reflect the intent of the parties as of the date of this Agreement.

Further, should this Agreement omit any statutory or regulatory requirements which would otherwise render this Agreement illegal, and then this Agreement shall be deemed amended to the minimum extent necessary to comply with said statutes or regulations.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties have executed this Memorandum of Understanding on the date set forth above.

Coconino County Public Health Services District
REVIEWED BY:

[Signature]
Dr. Marie Peoples,
Chief Health Officer

[Signature]
Date

REVIEWED BY:

[Signature]
Michael Oxtoby,
Deputy Chief Health Officer

[Signature]
Date
Request for City Council Action

Title: Staff to Prepare a Request for Proposal for a Zoning Ordinance Update

Meeting Date: November 18, 2015

Agenda Section: New Business

Action: Motion

Originating Department: Community Development

Supporting Documents: Zoning Code Concerns List

Prepared By: Kimberly Johnson, Community Development Director

Presented By: Community Development Director

Reviewed By: Approved By:

Proposed Action: Motion to direct Staff to Prepare an Request For Proposal for Zoning Ordinance Update

BACKGROUND:

One of the 2015 City Council Strategic Priorities is a "High Performing Organization", and one of the related objectives is to "review and update City Code". While the Zoning Ordinance is not codified, it is an important set of Ordinances that governs land use and zoning. These ordinances have been and are continuously being reviewed as they are applied to development and land use inquiries and proposals in the community.

Staff has determined that the current Zoning Ordinance is outdated, cumbersome, does not meet the needs of this young and developing tourist community, and needs to be codified as part of the overall re-codification process undertaken by the City. A comprehensive list of immediate concerns related to the current Zoning Ordinance has been prepared by staff and presented to and discussed by the Planning and Zoning Commission. This list is attached.

BUDGET IMPACT:

$40,000 has been included in the Planning Department Budget for professional services to assist in updating the Zoning Ordinance.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

N/A

ADVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDATION:

Due to quorum issues and the change in City Council meeting dates, the Planning and Zoning Commission has not had an opportunity to take action to make a formal recommendation regarding an RFP. The Commission has, however, discussed a list of Zoning Ordinance concerns and has directed staff to begin drafting an RFP.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

I move to authorize Staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission to develop a Request for Proposals and solicit proposals to update and codify the Page Zoning Ordinance.
Zoning Map

The current City of Page Zoning Map is not in digital format, and is not up to date with most recent rezoning map amendments. An accurate zoning map that is parcel based is an indispensable tool for the Planning and Zoning Department.

- Staff recommends that this be the first thing addressed in the code update.
- Staff recommends the zoning map be parcel based, with a plan for zoning of adjacent right of way and zoning transitions/changes within large tracts of land (likely by legal description).
- This will involve digitizing the existing zoning map while the code update process gets underway. City Staff needs to check with Coconino County regarding their level of mapping in this regard—perhaps they could formalize our zoning map at a lower cost.
- This will provide a zoning map for staff to use on a daily basis.
- It will also provide an easy to update map that consultants can use during the code update process to demonstrate and discuss zoning issues that need attention.
- Any needed map changes identified during the Update process can be easily accomplished on the new digital map.
- It will be important for the city to have ownership of the shape files—which should be part of the RFP, as should an alternate bid for map maintenance (the City currently has no staff with this set of expertise).

Code Update Process/Procedure

Staff would like to discuss options for approaching the project in preparation for drafting an RFP for consulting services. There are many ways to go about updating a Zoning Ordinance. This project will be intense and at times challenging. It will require expertise in land use and zoning, signage, and land use law. It will also require expertise in facilitating public input and community involvement. For these reasons, staff has recommended and the City Council budgeted for consulting services.

- It will be important to dedicate adequate time and energy on the subject. It may be necessary to have meetings specifically dedicated to the Zoning Code update.
- It will be important to have the right mix of people working on the project. It may be in the best interest of the Commission and the project to create a well-rounded taskforce of individuals to work directly with Staff, the consultant, and the public on new language for the Code.
  - A taskforce should be appointed by the City Council and adhere to the open meeting laws of the State of Arizona.
  - A taskforce should have representation from the Planning & Zoning Commission, ideally two members that are willing and able to committee to the extra responsibilities (this will involve intense review and discussion of existing and proposed language).
  - A task force should have representation from the City Council.
  - A task force should regularly report back to the Planning & Zoning Commission, which will make the ultimate recommendations to the City Council.
- Public input will be important to the process. It is not known what if any of the recommended code changes will be controversial. However, it is important to solicit public input on what is and what is not working in the code as well as what may be missing in the code (home occupations, or brew pub anyone?).
- Looking to other successful codes may be helpful.
Specific Issues of Concern to Staff

The biggest concern and obstacle in code that has been identified by Staff is the Planned Development Regulations and how they are being used in the code and on the Zoning Map. Planned Development is being used as a free standing zone in much of the City, instead of as an overlay zone applied to specific developments that use a higher level of design and integration of common elements. This is problematic because the PD zone has no base standards such as setbacks, building height, landscape provisions or the like. This creates a scenario where much of the community has zoning with no minimum standards, and no basis for determining what “good design” is.

Parking requirements need to be reviewed, especially as they relate to hotel and motel uses, and the lack of regulations related to one- and two-family uses, in particular where and how many vehicles may be park. In many cases the minimum parking requirements are excessive based on industry standard. Reducing parking requirements can reduce the amount of land needed for development, save developers money, and reduce negative impacts of runoff during seasonal storm events.

There are very limited standards in the Central Business District, which has contributed to a lack of aesthetic interest and character therein. All development is supposed to be processed according to Planned Development regulations, for which there are none (and it is not clear this has been the process used). Changes to landscaping and setback requirements (where there are now no minimum standards), and a blanket reduction in parking requirements could do a lot to improve the CBC experience.

Landscape requirements need to be reviewed to determine the appropriateness of existing regulations in achieving aesthetic goals of the community. Definitions and descriptions need to be reviewed as well.

Lighting standards need to be reviewed and discussed to determine the goals of the community in this regard and to take into consideration new technology.

Sign Regulations need to be discussed to determine the extent of amendments, if any, which may be necessary. Currently there are fairly strict limits on the amount and height of signage in commercial area. Billboards and murals need to be defined and addressed.

A review of Conditional Use Permit uses, in particular Hotel/motel in Service Commercial districts, is necessary. Further, there should be a discussion regarding the notification, review, and approval process for such uses. (bed/breakfast, vacation home rentals-police calls, grounds for revocation, etc.)

Site plan review/approval thresholds need to be defined, including thresholds for code compliance upon change or expansion of use or amenities.

Maximum height standards need to be reviewed and discussed.

Cargo containers and Home Occupations need to be addressed.

Manufactured home park, subdivision, and RV provisions need to be reviewed.

Use of public property for vending should be discussed

Aerial Tours via helicopter-do we need to discuss?
Request for City Council Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Authorize Environmental Site Assessment Grant Application-Former Landfill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td>November 8, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Section:</td>
<td>□ Consent ⬜ Public Hearings □ Old Business □ New Business □ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>□ Motion □ Resolution □ Ordinance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originating Department:</td>
<td>Community Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Action:</td>
<td>Motion to Authorize Environmental Site Assessment Grant Application-Former Landfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepared By:</td>
<td>Kimberly Johnson, Community Development Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewed By:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Documents:</td>
<td>Letter-Dave Laney, Cardno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTRODUCTION:
The City of Page has been invited by Coconino County to apply to the Route 66 Community Wide Assessment Grant program for funds to assess the former landfill constructed and operated by the Bureau of Reclamation on land located to the south of the city’s industrial park. The purpose of the assessment is to determine if there are hazardous substances on the site, and if so, if additional assessment is needed to determine a clean-up plan for the property. Should the City choose to pursue a grant, Coconino County would act as the conduit to the coalition and EPA.

BACKGROUND:
The Cities of Flagstaff, Winslow, and Holbrook along with Navajo and Coconino Counties have received a $700,000 Route 66 Community Wide Assessment Grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to conduct environmental site assessments. These assessments can be done on any site within the region that has the potential for petroleum or hazardous substances (commonly referred to as Brownfields).

The Route 66 coalition has hired Cardno, a professional environmental consulting firm, to assist potential applicants with their applications to the EPA and to manage the environmental assessment process. There is no cost to applicants, and no obligation by applicants for further assessment or cleanup. There is opportunity for applicants to apply for additional funding should further assessment be recommended; and there are competitive funding sources available for clean up. Applicants will have access to Cardno to assist with those application processes as well.

City Staff and Mayor Diak have met with Dave Laney of Cardno to review the grant program as well as walk the site and discuss its history. Mr. Laney indicates in his attached letter that he feels the Page site has a good chance of being funded. Staff feels that this is a risk-free, cost-free opportunity to gain an understanding of the current conditions at this site and get feedback on how the site could best be management and ultimately cleaned up if necessary.

BUDGET IMPACT:
There is no cost to the City for the application process or associated assessment, other than staff time to provide information for the application process.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:
N/A
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

I move to authorize Staff to pursue a grant/s for Environmental Site Assessment of the former landfill.
October 22, 2015

The Honorable Bill Diak Mayor of Page, Arizona
Ms. Kimberly Johnson, AICP
City of Page
P.O. Box 1180
697 Vista Avenue
Page, AZ 86040

Subject: Route 66 Community Wide Assessment Grant
Bureau of Reclamation
Construction Landfill

Dear Mr. Mayor and Ms. Johnson:

Thank you for taking the time recently to meet with me to discuss the landfill that was constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation in Page many years ago during work on the Glen Canyon Dam. As I mentioned during our meeting the decision as to the eligibility of this property for funding from the Route 66 Community Wide Assessment Grant for brownfields properties would be made by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) after submittal of a completed Site Assessment application to Coconino County. However, based on the information you provided me, I believe that chances for a favorable decision by EPA are good.

As I said, once the City has decided to apply for funding for the property I would be able to assist you with preparation of the Site Assessment application and could help submit it to Mr. Randy Phillips of Coconino County at no cost to the City. He will sign the form and it will be circulated to the Route 66 coalition members for approval. Once the application is approved by the Route 66 coalition, it will be forwarded to EPA for an eligibility determination.

If eligible for grant funding, a Phase I and (if necessary) a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, including sampling to evaluate the nature and extent of contamination, can then be completed. Again, this work would be performed at no cost to the City and the City would not be obligated to proceed with cleanup of the property. Of course if cleanup was recommended based on the Phase II results, I would be happy to assist the City in applying for cleanup funds from the State of Arizona or EPA.

Please contact me if you have any questions about this letter or the process for applying for funds from the Route 66 grant.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David F. Laney, CHMM
Principal/Senior Project Manager
Cardno ATC
480-355-4633
dave.laney@cardno.com

cc: Randy Phillips, Coconino County
# Request for City Council Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Discussion pertaining to City of Page Zoning Code Chapter 7, Section 5 – LIGHTING, to include LED lighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td>November 18, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Item Number:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Section:</td>
<td>Action:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☒ Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Ordinance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originating Department:</td>
<td>Supporting Documents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Code Chapter 7.5 Lighting/note from PUE General Manager Bryan Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepared By:</td>
<td>Presented By:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vice Mayor Kocjan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewed By:</td>
<td>Approved By:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Action:</td>
<td>Motion to direct staff to bring back for City Council consideration changes to the City of Page Zoning Code, Chapter 7, Section 5 – LIGHTING, to include LED lighting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BACKGROUND:**

Chapter 7, Section 5 – LIGHTING of the Page Zoning Code is in need of an update to include light-emitting diode (LED) lighting.

**BUDGET IMPACT:**

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Motion to direct staff to bring back for City Council consideration changes to the City of Page Zoning Code, Chapter 7, Section 5 – LIGHTING, to include LED lighting.
7.5 LIGHTING

7.5.1 Purpose

These regulations are intended to establish standards that ensure minimal light pollution, reduce glare, increase energy conservation, while providing for night safety, utility, security and productivity.

7.5.2 Applicability

These regulations shall apply to all outdoor lighting including, but not limited to, search, spot, or floodlights for: buildings and structures, recreational areas, parking lot lighting, landscape lighting, other outdoor lighting.

7.5.3 Non Residential Lighting

The following standards apply to the lighting of all outdoor facilities except Public or Private Athletic/Arena Recreation Facilities.

A. The height of any light fixture or illumination source shall not exceed 20 feet.

B. All lighting sources shall be hooded or shielded so that they are not visible from any adjacent or nearby lot or real property.

C. Lights or illuminating units shall not direct light, either directly or through a reflecting device, upon any adjacent or nearby real property.

D. Lighting fixtures shall be sodium pressure and fully shielded to prevent the formation of an urban light cloud.

Recreational lighting exempted from the above standards shall meet the following:

E. All metal halide/quartz fixtures shall be filtered by glass, acrylic or translucent enclosures.

F. No lighting of 150 watts or greater shall be used after 11:00 p.m. without a Temporary Use Permit.

7.5.3.A Security, Landscaping or other Lighting

All other outdoor lights shall be shielded and directed according to the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wattage of Light Source</th>
<th>Shielding Required</th>
<th>Directed Downward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 100</td>
<td>Yes-Full</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-175</td>
<td>Yes-Full</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 175</td>
<td>Yes-Full</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fully shielded means outdoor light fixtures constructed so that no light rays are emitted by the installed fixture at angles above the horizontal plane.
7.5.4 Residential Lighting

All exterior lighting shall be limited to 100 watts unless filtered, shielded or screened to minimize any light impact onto adjoining property.

7.5.5 Other pole-mounted lighting (parking, walkways, etc.)

All lights mounted on poles eight feet or greater in height shall be directed down. The light source shall be shielded so that it will not be visible from any adjacent or nearby real property.

7.5.6 Searchlights

The operation of searchlights shall be allowed subject to the following conditions:

A. During the months of May through October, searchlights shall be operated only between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m.;

B. During the months of November through April, searchlights shall be operated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.; and

C. Searchlights shall not be operated on residentially zone properties except for grand openings or new developments.
November 12, 2015

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers,

Sodium technology is slowly in the process of being phased out from streetlights and commercial lighting applications in favor of LED technology. The LEDs offer a lower ‘life cycle cost’ with both lower maintenance costs and lower energy cost making LEDs very attractive. Examples in Page of eliminating sodium commercial lights are the Walmart parking lot and the Maverick Gas Station on Tunnel Road. To learn and verify the benefits of LED streetlights, Page Electric recently began a pilot project to replace 20 old sodium street lights in various locations throughout Page with LEDs.

A member of the Planning and Zoning Board objected to the new LED street lights pointing out that the Page Planning and Zoning 7.5 specifically demands the use of Sodium lighting, further he and does not favor the white light (even though the LED streetlight fixtures are dark sky compliant). The P&Z Board member is correct with his point that the LEDs are a brighter white light and do not possess amber yellow color properties of the old Sodium lights.

The Page Utility Board considered an objection from the P&Z Board member but directed Utility staff to complete the LED pilot project and deploy the new LEDs as needed: 1) as a solid business move; 2) streetlights are not subject to 7.5. 3) the LED fixtures are Dark Sky Compliant. The Utility understands first hand that citizen opinions of lighting are very diverse, varying from those who desire more light to those who would prefer a dark community like Greenehaven. The utility is neutral and desires to take the right actions for Page. Accordingly, the Utility staff and Board requests Council review 7.5 for specifying sodium lighting, and Council to review the technology trend toward LED streetlights. At this point, the Utility is moving forward with the emerging LED lighting technology as needed unless otherwise directed by Page City Council. The Utility would be happy to participate with any workshops or planning groups.

To assist with these decisions going forward, there are three streetlight fixtures located in the City Hall parking lot, accordingly the installed the three variations of LED streetlight brightness that are available; 2700K (special order), 4000K (common), and 5600K (special order) for Council’s and the publics review and consideration. The 4000 is the most common and therefore least expensive. The 2700K is installed in the South (closest to Courthouse Building Cost $1000 each, the 4000K (most common) is installed in the middle (closest to the Glen Canyon Steakhouse Cost $400 each, the 5600K is install in the North (closest to the Bowl Cost $1000 each.

Thank you for your consideration, please contact me with any questions.

BRYAN HILL PE
General Manager
Page Power & Water
640 Haul Rd./PO Box 1955Page, AZ 86040-1955
Office: 928-645-2637, Cell: 928-660-844, Fax: 928-645-5322
bryan@pageutility.com